



RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2018

Keva





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2018 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
&	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ъ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index

	tional Overview			Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		✓	-							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown by passive, active strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO FI 02	Option to report on <10% assets	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Private							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of investments by strategy	✓	Private							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership	✓	Private							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of investments	✓	Private							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of assets by management	✓	Private							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	-	n/a							✓
00 INF 01	Breakdown of investments	8	n/a			✓				
OO INF 02	Breakdown of assets by management	8	n/a			✓				
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure	8	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Strategy	Strategy and Governance							Principle					
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6				
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓			
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓				
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓			
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓			
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓			
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓			
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓			
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓			
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓			
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓					
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓									
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓						
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓				
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓						
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓									
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓									
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓									
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓			
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓			
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓			
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public						✓				
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-										

Indirect -	Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring						Principle					
Indicator	Short description	Status Disclosure				3	4	5	6			
SAM 01	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓								
SAM 02	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓								
SAM 03	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	√	Public		~							
SAM 04	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	~								
SAM 05	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	~								
SAM 06	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	~								
SAM 07	Percentage of (proxy) votes	8	n/a		✓							
SAM 08	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	~								
SAM 09	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	~					✓			
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-									

Direct - L	Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation								Principle					
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6					
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Public	~										
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	8	n/a	✓										
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	~										
LEI 13	Measurement of financial and ESG outcomes of ESG incorporation	✓	Private	✓										
LEI 14	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓										
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-											

Direct - I	isted Equity Active Ownership				Р	rin	inciple			General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	8	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	8	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Voting policy & approach	8	n/a	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 16	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 18	Confirmation of votes	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Securities lending programme	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 21	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 22	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 23	Shareholder resolutions	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 24	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	8	n/a		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - I			Principle					General		
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓						
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓						
FI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Negative screening - overview and rationale	8	n/a	✓						
FI 06	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	✓	Private	✓						
FI 07	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 08	Thematic investing - overview	8	n/a	✓						
FI 09	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	8	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Thematic investing - assessing impact	8	n/a	✓						
FI 11	Integration overview	✓	Public	✓						
FI 12	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	✓	Public	✓						
FI 13	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	✓	Public	✓						
FI 14	ESG incorporation in passive funds	8	n/a	✓						
FI 15	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Private		✓					
FI 16	Engagement method	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 17	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 18	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓
FI 19	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - F	Direct - Property								Principle					
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6					
PR 01	Responsible Property Investment (RPI) policy	✓	Public	✓					✓					
PR 02	Fund placement documents and RI	8	n/a	✓			✓		✓					
PR 03	Formal commitments to RI	8	n/a				✓							
PR 04	Incorporating ESG issues when selecting investments	✓	Public	✓										
PR 05	Types of ESG information considered in investment selection	✓	Public	✓		✓								
PR 06	ESG issues impact in selection process	✓	Public	✓										
PR 07	ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party property managers	✓	Public				~							
PR 08	ESG issues in post-investment activities	✓	Public		✓									
PR 09	Proportion of assets with ESG targets that were set and monitored	✓	Public		✓	✓								
PR 10	Certification schemes, ratings and benchmarks	✓	Private		✓									
PR 11	Proportion of developments and refurbishments where ESG issues were considered	✓	Public		~									
PR 12	Proportion of property occupiers that were engaged with	✓	Public		✓									
PR 13	Proportion of green leases or MOUs referencing ESG issues	✓	Public		✓									
PR 14	Proportion of assets engaged with on community issues	✓	Private		✓									
PR 15	ESG issues affected financial/ESG performance	✓	Public	✓	✓									
PR 16	Examples of ESG issues that affected your property investments	✓	Private	~		✓								
PR End	Module confirmation page	✓	-											

Assuran	Assurance							Principle					
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	1 2 3 4 5 6								
CM 1 01.1	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓			
CM 1 01.2 & 01.8	Assurance of this year's PRI data	✓	Public										
CM 1 01.3 & 01.9	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓			
CM 1 01.4, 10- 12	Other confidence building measures	~	Public							✓			
CM 1 01.5	External assurance	8	n/a							✓			
CM 1 01.6	Assurance or internal audit	8	n/a							✓			
CM 1 01.7	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓			
CM 1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-										

Basic information 00 01 **Mandatory Public** Gateway/Peering General 00 01.1 Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide. Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan O Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan O Insurance company Foundation O Endowment O Development finance institution O Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund O Family office Other, specify

The future pensions of local government employees are provided for by means of the pension liability fund.

Additional information. [Optional]

The mission of Keva's investment operations is to invest the fund's assets in such a manner that the investment returns make it possible for the pension contribution to remain at a predictable and stable level far into the future. We also ensure that sufficient funds that can quickly and economically be converted into cash are available for the payment of pensions under all circumstances.

The aim of Keva's investment operations is to maximise the pension fund's long-term return. This aim is pursued by both making direct investments and drawing on the specialised expertise of our partners. Our investment strategy relies on making use of the pension fund's structural competitive advantages - our long time horizon and sufficient size - and on careful risk management.



00 01.3

O 02	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
00 02.	1 Select the location of your organ	isation's headquarters	3.	
Finland	1			
OO 02.	2 Indicate the number of countries	in which you have of	ices (including your head	dquarters).
1	·			
○ 2-5				
O 6-10				
○ >10				
OO 02.	3 Indicate the approximate number	r of staff in your orgar	isation in full-time equiva	alents (FTE).
	FTE			
519				
OO 02.	4 Additional information. [Optional]			

Keva is an independent public corporation which operates in accordance with the Local Government Pensions Act (KuEL). The Institution is supervised by the Ministry of Finance. Our member organizations include all Finnish cities, other municipalities and joint municipal boards. Municipal associations and limited liability companies may also apply for membership.

00 0	3	Man	ndatory	Public	Descriptive	General
	OO 03	.1	Indicate whether you have subsidia their own right.	ries within your org	anisation that are also PRI signat	tories in
	○ Yes ● No					
000)4	Man	ndatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
	00 04		Indicate the year end date for your I	reporting year.		
	31/12/	2017				
	00 04	.2	Indicate your total AUM at the end on not to report on and any advisory/ex			e chosen

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		51	870	773	872
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD		61	544	505	459

OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

00 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

 \bigcirc as percentage breakdown

as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	<10%	10-50%
Fixed income	10-50%	10-50%
Private equity	<10%	<10%
Property	<10%	<10%
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	<10%
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0



OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

Mandatory to Report Voluntary to OO 09 **Public Peering** General **Disclose**

00 09.1

Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

	O 0%
	○ <10%
Davidanad Marketa	O 10-50%
Developed Markets	● >50 %
	0%
	○ <10%
Emerging Frontier and Other Markets	10-50%
Emerging, Frontier and Other Markets	○ >50 %
Total	100%

	Asset	t class	s implementation gate	way indicators		
00 1	0	Man	datory	Public	Gateway	General
	00 10	.1	Select the active owners	ship activities your organisat	ion implemented in the re	eporting year.
			Listed equity – engage	ement		
	✓ V	Ve eng	age with companies on E	SG factors via our staff, colla	aborations or service pro	viders.
	□V	Ve requ	uire our external manager	s to engage with companies	on ESG factors on our b	ehalf.
	☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors		panies on ESG factors.			
			Listed equity – voting			
	□V	Ve cast	t our (proxy) votes directly	or via dedicated voting prov	viders	
	☑ We require our external managers to vote on our behalf.					
\Box We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our b		e on our behalf				



 \square We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.

Fixed income SSA – engagement

☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.



			Fixed income Corporate (finance	cial) – engagement		
	☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.					
	$\hfill \square$ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.					
	$\hfill \square$ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.					n ESG
	Fixed income Corporate (non-financial) – engagement					
	✓W	Ve enga	ge with companies on ESG factor	rs via our staff, coll	aborations or service providers.	
	□W	Ve requi	re our external managers to enga	ge with companies	on ESG factors on our behalf.	
			ot engage directly and do not requase explain why you do not.	uire external manaç	gers to engage with companies or	n ESG
			Fixed income Corporate (secur	itised) – engageme	ent	
	✓W	Ve enga	ge with companies on ESG factor	rs via our staff, coll	aborations or service providers.	
			ot engage directly and do not requase explain why you do not.	uire external manaç	gers to engage with companies or	n ESG
00 11	N	Mandato	ory	Public	Gateway	General
	00 11.1	Se	elect the internally managed asse	t classes in which y	Gateway you addressed ESG incorporation actices (during the reporting year)	into your
		Se	elect the internally managed asse	t classes in which y	ou addressed ESG incorporation	into your
	00 11.1	Se	elect the internally managed asse restment decisions and/or your a	t classes in which y	ou addressed ESG incorporation	into your
	00 11.1 ⊚ We :	Seinv	elect the internally managed asse vestment decisions and/or your ad Listed equity	t classes in which y	ou addressed ESG incorporation	into your
	00 11.1 ⊚ We :	See inv	elect the internally managed assevestment decisions and/or your actions and/or your actions.	t classes in which y	ou addressed ESG incorporation	into your
	● We :	Se inv	elect the internally managed assevestment decisions and/or your actions. Listed equity ESG incorporation. do ESG incorporation.	t classes in which y	ou addressed ESG incorporation	into your
	● We :	address do not d	elect the internally managed assevestment decisions and/or your activities and equity ESG incorporation. ESG incorporation. Fixed income - SSA	t classes in which y	ou addressed ESG incorporation	into your
	● We :	address do not do not do not do	elect the internally managed assevestment decisions and/or your activities. Listed equity ESG incorporation. do ESG incorporation. Fixed income - SSA ESG incorporation.	t classes in which y	ou addressed ESG incorporation	into your
	We :We :We :	address do not do not do not do	elect the internally managed assevestment decisions and/or your acceptance of the control of the	t classes in which y	ou addressed ESG incorporation	into your
	We at the control of the con	address do not d	elect the internally managed assevestment decisions and/or your acceptance of the control of the	t classes in which y	ou addressed ESG incorporation	into your
	We at the control of the con	address do not do address do not do address do not do	elect the internally managed assevestment decisions and/or your acceptance of the control of the	t classes in which y	ou addressed ESG incorporation	into your



 \bigcirc We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - securitised

- We address ESG incorporation.
- \bigcirc We do not do ESG incorporation.

Private equity

- $\ensuremath{\, \bullet \,}$ We address ESG incorporation.
- \bigcirc We do not do ESG incorporation.

Property

- $\ensuremath{\, \bullet \,}$ We address ESG incorporation.
- \bigcirc We do not do ESG incorporation.



Asset class	ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Listed equity	
	Listed equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - SSA	
	Fixed income - SSA - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
ixed income - corporate	
(financial)	Fixed income - corporate (financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - corporate	
(non-financial)	Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Private equity	
	Private equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Property	



		Property - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes		
	 ☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process ☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process 			
	□ We inco	orporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process		
	□ We do i	not do ESG incorporation		
Hedge funds				
		Hedge funds - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes		
	☑ We inco	orporate ESG into our external manager selection process		
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process			
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process			
	□ We do	not do ESG incorporation		

00 11.4

Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

External equity and fixed income investments

External equity and fixed income investments are managed by external asset managers. External asset management may be broken down into three distinct stages: the search for the new asset managers, the monitoring of the selected asset managers in the portfolio, and the monitoring of the underlying securities in the portfolio. The responsible investment perspective is factored in throughout these three stages.

The search for the new asset managers includes an assessment of the candidates from the responsible investment perspective.

The first stage, i.e. request for proposal (RFP) stage addresses asset managers' responsible investment policies, which in turn acts as a starting point for the further dialogue. Most of the selected asset managers have in place a responsible investment policy and corresponding processes. The following areas, among others, are observed: how the asset manager integrates ESG criteria into their analysis and investment decisions, how responsibility is addressed at the corporate meetings, and whether the asset manager has signed PRI or intends to do so.

The second stage involves regular monitoring of the selected asset managers. An annual ESG survey is sent out to all external equity and fixed income asset managers in the portfolio. The survey addresses asset managers' responsible investment policies as well as their stance towards ESG-related risks and opportunities from the long-term return viewpoint.

The third stage, i.e. monitoring of the underlying securities, entails both ESG analysis and dialogue with the asset managers. In ESG analysis, responsibility indicators can be used for relative analysis (such as comparisons with a geographic dimension) as well as for examining individual investments in absolute terms. The portfolio managers in the external equity and fixed income investments unit regularly address responsibility issues in their dialogue with the asset managers.

Keva aims to enhance its active ownership mechanisms in the external equity and fixed income investments by working in close collaboration with the other investors and by using external service providers.

Alternative Investments

The alternative investments unit manages Keva's private equity, real estate, infrastructure and hedge fund investments. In all of these asset classes, the emphasis is on the assessment of the fund manager/management company from the responsibility perspective and in ongoing engagement during the term of the investment.

Private equity investments

During the investment process the ESG criteria and/or the requirement of compliance with UN PRI is included in the process description, DDQ documentation, the fund description and in the legal due diligence documentation. In respect of major holdings, ongoing engagement is sought during the term of the investment through advisory board seats. An ESG survey of the largest funds' management companies is conducted annually.

Real estate fund investments



The same principles largely apply to real estate fund investments as to private equity funds. The ESG policy of both the asset manager and the fund is reviewed in the context of new investments. Specific topics addressed with asset managers, by means of examples, include energy efficiency requirements and environmental aspects. With regard to funds held in the portfolio, advisory board seats allow responsibility issues to be raised as and when necessary.

Infrastructure investments

The same principles apply to infrastructure investments as to private equity fund investments. The ESG policy of the[M1] fund is reviewed when reviewing new investment opportunities and environmental considerations are in particular discussed with the fund manager. Advisory board seats are taken in infrastructure funds as well and are a good way to engage with the fund manager.

Hedge fund investments

In hedge fund investments, the responsibility assessment focuses mainly on the asset manager.

2	Man	datory	Public	Gateway	General
00 12	2.1	Below are all applicable modules o report (asset classes representing Those which are voluntary to repor	10% or more of you	ır AUM) are already ticked and	
		Core modules			
☑ (Organis	sational Overview			
☑ \$	Strateg	y and Governance			
		RI implementation directly or via	service providers		
		Direct - Listed Equity incorpora	tion	_	
V	☑ Liste	d Equity incorporation			
		Direct - Listed Equity active ow	nership		
v	₫ Enga	agements			
		Direct - Fixed Income			
v	☑ Fixed	d income - SSA			
v	☑ Fixed	d income - Corporate (financial)			
v	☑ Fixed	d income - Corporate (non-financial)			
	Fixed	d income - Securitised			
		Direct - Other asset classes with	th dedicated module	es	
	∃ Priva	ite Equity			
v	☑ Prop	erty			
		RI implementation via external m	anagers		



General

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers ☑ Listed Equities ☑ Fixed income - SSA ☑ Fixed income - Corporate (financial) ☑ Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial) ☑ Private Equity ☐ Property Closing module ☑ Closing module **Peering questions OO LE 01 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Public** Gateway General **Disclose** Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative OO LE (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies. 01.1 Percentage of internally managed listed equities **Passive** 39 Active - quantitative (quant) 0 Active - fundamental and active - other 61 Total 100% **Investment policy** SG 01 **Mandatory Public Core Assessed** General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	Applicable policies cover all AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	O Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☑ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☐ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☑ Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ Engagement policy	
☐ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	

SG 01.3 Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- ☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- ☑ Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- ☑ Time horizon of your investment
- ☑ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ☑ ESG incorporation approaches
- ☑ Active ownership approaches
- ☑ Climate change and related issues

SG 01.3a CC Describe how your products or investment strategy might be affected by the transition to a lower-carbon economy.

Climate change is one of the greatest risks in our time (WEF, 2017). As an investor, Keva believes that it has a duty to understand the cost risk to the entities in which it invests arising from potential future climate regulation and to seek to limit this risk to its investment assets. This view is similar to the one e.g. stated in Mercer's paper "Investing in a Time of Climate Change" in 2015.

At the same time, in the long term Keva must seek exposure to the development of technologies to promote resource efficiency -i.e. to understand the opportunities related to the phenomena.

Working together with other investors, investment entities, and various stakeholders is vital when addressing a broad, long-horizon phenomenon.

In order to gain a better understanding of risks and opportunities in the long term, Keva has conducted a global risk-return analysis in cooperation with its roughly sixty inhouse and external corporate bond and equity asset managers on the basis of the World Economic



Forum's Global Risk Report. (WEF, 2017). The survey included the risks and the opportunities of climate change -both geographically and by asset class.

SG 01.3b CC Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities are factored into your investment strategies or products.

We factor climate-related risks and strategies into our investment strategies or products.

Partly as a result of the survey, we formulated our targets for ESG broadly and in terms of climate change in particular. The targets are included in the document below (in chapter 3).

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-jaraportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf

Describe how these risks and opportunities serve as an input to your investment strategies or products and the related time periods used.

Specific Guidance:

Asset Owners

This can be described from the perspective of the total fund or investment strategy or individual investment strategies for various asset classes.

Investment Managers

This can be described from the perspective of all investments strategies and products or individual investment strategies and products for clients

We do not factor climate-related risks and strategies into our investment strategies or products.

□ Other I	RI considerations,	specify (1
-----------	--------------------	------------

☐ Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

The purpose for Keva's investment operation is described in Keva's Investment Beliefs document. The starting point is "The objective of the fund is to generate real investment returns required to meet our long-term pension liabilities under the Act on Keva. This requires us to take on investment risk. Taking on investment risk might at times result in investment losses over short and medium-term horizons".

The timeframe of the investment operation is long: "The long-term nature of our pension liabilities and their predictable cash flows enable an investment strategy aiming for long-term investment results. A long-horizon investment strategy will generate better returns than a short-horizon investment strategy."

An integration of ESG considerations links to the timeframe. "Investment returns are driven by long-term economic growth, which must be sustainable since pensions are, by nature, long-term liabilities. Integrating the dimensions of responsible investment (ESG) into the choice of investments creates long-term added value through better returns and lower risk".

In 2016-2017, several steering documents were approved by Keva's board

Investment beliefs:

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/investment-beliefs.pdf

A whitepaper explaining the Investment beliefs

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/how-we-invest---investment-beliefs.pdf

Resposible Investment Beliefs:

PRII Principles for Responsible Investment

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-jaraportointi/responsible-investment-beliefs.pdf

Responsible investment beliefs by aasset class

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-jaraportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf

Environmental targets for real estate.

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/keva_environmental_strategy_real_estate_investments__2016.pdf

O No

Your responses to this indicator will be used to determine if you meet the minimum requirements of being a PRI signatory introduced for the first time in 2018. Signatories have until 2020 to meet these requirements.

You can find out more information on the PRI website.

There are two minimum requirements for this indicator SG 01.1 and SG 01.2:

- A policy, or similar document, that: Sets out your overall approach to responsible investment; or
- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors; or
- · Formalised guidelines on social factors; or
- Formalised guidelines on governance factors.

•

The PRI recognises that RI "policies" can take many different forms and can have a variety of titles. Please see the explanatory notes for this indicator to see further explanation of this and further guidance.

This policy/document should cover more than 50% of your AUM

If you have any questions or need support please contact reporting@unpri.org or call on + 44 (0) 203 714 3187.

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

☑ Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible-investment-beliefs.pdf

- ☐ Attachment (will be made public)
- $\ensuremath{\square}$ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors



		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	
		URL
		ww.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja- ti/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf
		ent (will be made public)
V	Asset class-s	pecific RI guidelines
		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	
		URL
		ww.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja- ti/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf
	☐ Attachm	ent (will be made public)
\checkmark	Screening / e	xclusions policy
		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	
		URL
		ww.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja- ti/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf
	☐ Attachm	ent (will be made public)
\checkmark	Engagement	policy
		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	
		URL
	https://w raportoin	ww.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-ti/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf
	☐ Attachm	ent (will be made public)
		blicly disclose our investment policy documents



SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

 $\ensuremath{\,ert}$ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible-investment-beliefs.pdf
□ Attachment
☑ Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf
□ Attachment
☑ Time horizon of your investment
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/investment-beliefs.pdf
□ Attachment
☑ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
URL/Attachment
☑ URL



URL

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-jaraportointi/responsible-investment-beliefs.pdf

☐ Attachment

☑ ESG incorporation approaches

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-jaraportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf

☐ Attachment

☑ Active ownership approaches

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

 $\underline{\text{https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-jaraportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf}$

☐ Attachment

☐ Reporting

☑ Climate-related issues

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

 $\underline{\text{https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-jaraportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf}$

☐ Attachment

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ Climate change and related issues

URL/Attachment

☑ URL



URL

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-jaraportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf

☐ Attachment

 \square We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3

Indicate if your organisation's investment principles, and overall investment strategy is publicly available

Yes

URL

 $\underline{\text{https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/investment-beliefs.pdf}$

O No

SG 02.4

Additional information [Optional].

We were not 100% sure on which documents should have been referred above.

Below the most important public documents

Investment belifs with an ESG reference

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/investment-beliefs.pdf

Whitepaper on investment beliefs (for a broader explanation of the beliefs)

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/how-we-invest---investment-beliefs.pdf

Responsible investment beliefs

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible-investment-beliefs.pdf

Responsible investment beliefs by asset class

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf

Environmental strategy for real estate investments

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/keva_environmental_strategy_real_estate_investments__2016.pdf

SG 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes



SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

The main general company-wide policies are discussed in Keva's code of conduct:

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/keva-code-of-conduct-eng.pdf

In the external equity and fixed income investments, most significant conflict of interest relates to such unrecognized or emerging principal-agent- problem which jeopardizes the long-term return potential.

Aligning the interest with the selected external asset managers is a critical, prioritized matter both in pre- and post-due diligence phase. Much of this relates to ensuring the same long-termism is consistently shared by both parties, and that the long-termism is enforced through e.g. appropriate incentive mechanisms.

However, there are occasionally incidents where transparency and predictability in the manager's actions and long-term performance deteriorates. This includes e.g. shift in the firm's focus, departure of key people, adverse changes in the ownership or investment style drift. As a long term investors, we have patience to evaluate investment managers' actions and performance over an extended time frame and strive for assessing the potential causalities of such changes in an objective manner. Severe conflicts of interest may, however, lead to termination of the investment strategy.

In the internal management, acess to personel ownership information of the people whio are responsible dor investment decisions is available on the website (code of conduct).

O No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05 Mandatory Public Gateway/Core Assessed General

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- O Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- O Ad-hoc basis
- O It is not set/reviewed

SG 05.2

Additional information. [Optional]

The Board of Directors decides the long-term policy of Keva's investment operation and accepts the guidence documents. The principal guidance documents, Investment Beliefs and the Responsible Investment Beliefs determine this policy. Development of the responsible investment strategy and processes is reported to the Board of Directors twice a year.

The Responsible Investment Steering Group drives the practical development of responsible investing. The Steering Group is composed of a representative from each of Keva's investment units. The responsible investment portfolio manager working in Keva's investment strategy unit convenes the Steering Group.

The group makes development proposals to investment units and to the chief investment officer, disseminates information internally, is responsible for Keva's annual UN PRI reporting and prepares and presents questions relating to responsible investing to the chief investment officer for decisions.

Responsible Investment Beliefs

(https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible-investment-beliefs.pdf

The high-level targets are set in the document "Responsible Investment by asset class". These objectives are reveiwed less frequently than annually,



https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf

The shorter-term objectives are decided in the meetings of the Responsible Investment Steering Group, based on the state of the overall development.

	Governance and human resources						
SG 0	7	Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	General	
	SG 07.1 Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have overs and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.					oversight	
Roles present in your organisation							
☑ Board members or trustees							
		☑ O\	versight/accountability for responsible	investment			
		□ Im	plementation of responsible investme	nt			
		□No	o oversight/accountability or implemen	tation responsibili	ity for responsible investment		
	☑ (Chief E	xecutive Officer (CEO), Chief Investm	ent Officer (CIO),	Investment Committee		
		☑ O\	versight/accountability for responsible	investment			
	☐ Implementation of responsible investment						
	☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment						
	☑ (Other C	Chief-level staff or head of department	, specify			
	CIO for each investment team						



☑ O\	versight/accountability for responsible investment
□ Im	plementation of responsible investment
□No	o oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
✓ Portfoli	o managers
□ O\	versight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Im	plementation of responsible investment
□No	o oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ ESG po	ortfolio manager
☑ O\	versight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Im	plementation of responsible investment
□ No	o oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
✓ Investm	nent analysts
□ O\	versight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Im	plementation of responsible investment
□No	o oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Dedicate	ted responsible investment staff
☑ O\	versight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Im	plementation of responsible investment
□No	o oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
	al managers or service providers
□ O\	versight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Im	plementation of responsible investment
□No	o oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☐ Investo	r relations
☐ Other re	ole, specify (1)
☐ Other re	ole, specify (2)
SG 07.2	For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.
Please see the	document
https://www.ke	eva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible- iefs.pdf
SC 07.2	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has

Your responses to this indicator will be used to determine if you meet the minimum requirements of being a PRI signatory introduced for the first time in 2018. Signatories have until 2020 to meet these requirements.

You can find out more information on the PRI website.

Number



1

There are two minimum requirements for this indicator SG 07.1:

- A role implementing responsible investment: Individuals with implementation roles are those charged with
 implementing specific aspects of the organisation's responsible investment practices, for example, conducting
 ESG-related research, incorporating ESG issues into investment strategies, voting shareholdings, engaging
 with companies and policy makers;
- This can be an internal staff or an external role;
- They do not have to be a dedicated RI/ESG investment staff (captured in 07.3); and
- They do not have to be allocating the majority of their time to RI/ESG activities.

•

- Senior level oversight and accountability for RI implementation: Individuals with oversight roles are those with management or governance responsibility for ensuring that the organisation implements its policies, and achieves its objectives and targets in relation to responsible investment performance; and
- "Senior level" includes the roles: Chief level staff, head of department, CEO, CIO, Investment Committee and Board members or trustees.

•

If you have any questions or need support please contact reporting@unpri.org or call on + 44 (0) 203 714 3187.

Promoting responsible investment							
SG 09	Mandatory	Public	c Core Assessed	PRI 4,5			
New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.							
SG 09.1 Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a men which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.							

Select all that apply

☑ Principles for Responsible Investment

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☐ Basic	
	ate
□ Advon	and

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Keva took part in an initiative on climate change

https://www.ceres.org/sites/default/files/Fact%20Sheets%20or%20misc%20files/Updated%20Global%20Invest or%20Letter%20to%20G7%20%26%20G20%20Governments.pdf



☐ Asian Corporate Governance Association
☐ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
☐ AFIC – La Commission ESG
☐ BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
☑ CDP Climate Change
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
□ Basic
☑ Moderate
☐ Advanced
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
Keva took part in an initiative on climate change
https://www.ceres.org/sites/default/files/Fact%20Sheets%20or%20misc%20files/Updated%20Global%20Investor%20Letter%20to%20G7%20%26%20G20%20Governments.pdf
☑ CDP Forests
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic
☐ Moderate
☐ Advanced
☑ CDP Water



Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)					
☑ Basic					
☐ Moderate					
□ Advanced					
☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity					
☐ Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)					
☐ Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century					
☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)					
□ Eumedion					
☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)					
☐ ESG Research Australia					
□ EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable					
☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)					
☐ Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)					
☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)					
☐ Green Bond Principles					
☑ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)					
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)					
□ Basic					
☐ Advanced					
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]					
[Optional]					
Keva took part in an initiative on climate change					
https://www.ceres.org/sites/default/files/Fact%20Sheets%20or%20misc%20files/Updated%20Global%20Investor%20Letter%20to%20G7%20%26%20G20%20Governments.pdf					
01702020tto17020t0702007702070207020000011111011to.pai					
☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)					
 □ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR) □ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN) 					
☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)					
☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN) ☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)					
 □ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN) □ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC) □ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) 					
 □ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN) □ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC) □ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) □ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES 					
 □ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN) □ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC) □ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) □ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES □ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum 					



	Y	our organisation's role in the i	nitiative during t	he reporting period (see defini	tions)		
☑ Basic							
	☐ Moderate						
☐ Advanced							
□ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance							
☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)							
□ Un	ited Nations Er	vironmental Program Finance	e Initiative (UNE	P FI)			
□Un	ited Nations GI	obal Compact					
□ Oth	ner collaborativ	e organisation/initiative, speci	ify				
☐ Oth	ner collaborativ	e organisation/initiative, speci	ify				
☐ Oth	ner collaborativ	e organisation/initiative, speci	ify				
□ Oth	ner collaborativ	e organisation/initiative, speci	ify				
	Mandatory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4		
Yes							
Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.							
☐ Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)							
☐ Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment							
[☐ Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work						
			-	elated work			
[☐ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry						
☑ Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment					oss the investment		
[ndustry □ Spoke public	ut and/or collaborated with ac better transparency and discl ly at events and conferences	ademia on RI respon	sible investment practices acro	oss the investment		

Several intermal memos written as a part of developing RI polich and ESG reporting. Board-approved policies published during 2017.



Frequency of contribution				
Quarterly or more frequently				
○ Biannually				
AnnuallyLess frequently than annually				
				○ Ad hoc
Other				
☐ Encouraged the adoption of the PRI				
☐ Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)				
☑ Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media				
Description				
Blogs written on responsible investing (In Finnish) https://www.keva.fi/tama-on-keva/sijoitukset/				
Frequency of contribution				
Quarterly or more frequently				
Biannually				
○ Annually				
O Less frequently than annually				
○ Ad hoc				
Other				
☐ A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify				
\square On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)				
☐ Other, specify				
○ No				
Describe any additional actions and initiatives that your organisation has taken part in during the reporting year to promote responsible investment [Optional]				
Keva took part in a collaborative intitiative on climate				
https://www.ceres.org/sites/default/files/Fact%20Sheets%20or%20misc%20files/Updated%20Global%20Invest%20Letter%20to%20G7%20%26%20G20%20Governments.pdf	or			

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

PRI 4 SG 12 **Mandatory Public Core Assessed**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



SG 12.1	Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.			
☐ Yes, we use investment consultants ☑ No, we do not use investment consultants.				
ESG issue	s in asset allocation			

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.

We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends

We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends

We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends

We consider scenario analysis that includes factors representing the investment impacts of future climate-related risks and opportunities

Is this scenario analysis based on a 2°C or lower scenario?

○ Yes

Mandatory

SG 13

No

☑ We execute other scenario analysis, specify

During 2017, Keva made a trend survey to its internal and external equity and fixed income managers, based on WEF trends to understand its positioning.

☐ We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG SG
13.1a CC

Pleased describe the resilience of your organisation's strategy, considering different future climate scenarios.

Strategy affected	Changes to strategy	Description of scenario and time- horizon	How analysis has been used
Look at SG13.3	Look at SG13.3	Look at SG13.3	Look at SG13.3

SG 13.2 Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.



We do the following				
☐ Allocation between asset classes				
☐ Determining fixed income duration				
☐ Allocation of assets between geographic markets				
☑ Sector weightings				
☑ Other, specify				
ESG issues are integrated to security selection -implying that indirectly also sector weighings are affected.				
☐ We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation				

SG 13.3 Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

During 2017, Keva made a trend survey to its internal and external equity and fixed income managers, based on WEF trends to understand its positioning and risks and opportunities with regards to long-term trends including climate change.

From the results, quantitative indicators were calculated on how equity and fixed income managers seen the opportunity and the risk with refards to the trends. This enables Keva to gauge the risks and the opportunities it faces by asset class and by geography. (See SG 06.2)

In our targets accepted by our board, the resultrs of our trend survey are a starting point

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

SG 16	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Fixed income - Securitised	Please look at our policy by asset class: https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja- raportointi/vastuullinen-sijoittaminen-omaisuuslajeittain.pdf
Private equity	During the investment process the ESG criteria and/or the requirement of compliance with UN PRI is included in the process description, DDQ documentation, the fund description and in the legal due diligence documentation. In respect of major holdings, ongoing engagement is sought during the term of the investment through advisory board seats. An ESG survey of the largest funds' management companies is conducted annually

SG 17	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General

SG 17.1

Describe how you address ESG issues for externally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.



Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved		
Property	The same principles largely apply to real estate fund investments as to private equity funds. The ESG policy of both the asset manager and the fund is reviewed in the context of new investments. Specific topics addressed with asset managers, by means of examples, include energy efficiency requirements and environmental aspects. With regard to funds held in the portfolio, advisory board seats allow responsibility issues to be raised as and when necessary.		
Hedge funds - DDQ			
	Select whether you use the PRI Hedge Fund DDQ		
	○ Yes ● No		
Hedge funds	ESG is part of our hedge fund due diligence. We rank the managers higher that are signatories of HSFB (Hedge Fund Standard Board) and UNPRI, and hence have integrated their practices accordingly.		

Communication

SG 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses asset class specific information. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Fixed income

- O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

Yes

 \bigcirc No



isclosure to public and URL
Disclosure to public and URL
○ Broad approach to RI incorporation
Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used
Frequency
□ Quarterly
□ Biannually
□ Annually
☑ Less frequently than annually
☐ Ad hoc/when requested
https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf
Listed equity - Engagement
We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
○ We disclose to the public
Listed equity - Incorporation
We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
○ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same Yes



 \bigcirc No

Disclosure to public and URL
Disclosure to public and URL
○ Broad approach to ESG incorporation
Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used
Frequency
☐ Quarterly or more frequently
□ Biannually
□ Annually
✓ Less frequently than annually
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested
https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible-investment-beliefs.pdf
URL
https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf
Property
O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
○ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

Yes

 \bigcirc No



Disclosure to public and URL
Disclosure to public and URL
☑ ESG information on how you select property investments
☐ ESG information on how you monitor and manage property investments
☑ Information on your property investments' ESG performance
□ Other
Frequency
☐ Quarterly or more frequently
□ Biannually
□ Annually
☑ Less frequently than annually
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested
URL
https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf
URL
https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/keva_environmental_strategy_real_estate_investments_2016.pdf
Selection, Appointment and Monitoring
 We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
○ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

Yes

 \bigcirc No



Disclosure to public and URL	L
Disclosure to public and URL	
☑ How responsible investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes	
☐ Details of the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf	
\square E, S and/or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and/or active ownership	
□ Other	
Frequency	
☐ Quarterly or more frequently	
☐ Biannually	
☐ Annually	
☑ Less frequently than annually	
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested	
URL	
https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-kevatiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf	

SAM 01 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 1 SAM 01.1 Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf for all your listed equity and/or fixed income assets:





Active investment strategies	Listed Equity	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)
Screening	\checkmark			
Thematic				
Integration				
None of the above				

Passive investment strategies

Passive investment strategies	Listed Equity		
Screening	\checkmark		
Thematic			
Integration			
None of the above			

SAM 01.2 Additional information. [Optional]

External Equity and Fixed Income Investments: We do not explicitly require ESG incorporation from our external asset managers. In practise, an overwhelming majority of them do so. This is due to us selecting asset managers with deep, fundamental-based security selection that has its merits on the understanding of long-term industry and company drivers, especially those of material importance from our fiduciary duty perspective. ESG- related factors are no exception to this. Norm-based screening is used for inhumane weapons in the external mandates.

	Selec	tion				
SAM	02	Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
	SAM 02 1		Indicate what RI-related information	, ,	typically covers in the majority of	selection



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	Privequ	vate ıity	
Investment strategy and how ESG objectives relate to it	V	V			V		
ESG incorporation requirements							
ESG reporting requirements							
Other	V	V	V	V			
No RI information covered in the RFPs							

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

External Equity and Fixed Income Investments: The request for proposal (RFP) stage addresses asset managers' responsible investment policies, which in turn acts as a starting point for the further dialogue. The following areas, among others, are observed: how the asset manager integrates ESG criteria into their analysis and investment decisions, how responsibility is addressed at the corporate meetings, and whether the asset manager has signed PRI or intends to do so.

<u>Private Equity Investments</u>: The due diligence questionnaire (DDQ) provided by the manager usually covers their ESG initiatives and resourcing and whether the manager has signed the UN PRI.

SAM 02.2

Explain how your organisation evaluates the investment manager's ability to align between your investment strategy and their investment approach

Strategy



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	Private equity	
Assess the time horizon of the investment manager's offering vs. your/beneficiaries' requirements	V	V		☑	V	
Assess the quality of investment policy and its reference to ESG						
Assess the investment approach and how ESG objectives are implemented in the investment process					V	
Review the manager's firm-level vs. product-level approach to RI	V	V	☑	Ø		
Assess the ESG definitions to be used						
Other						
None of the above						

ESG people/oversight



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)?	Private equity	
Assess ESG expertise of investment teams	V	\overline{A}				
Review the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation						
Review how is ESG implementation enforced /ensured					V	
Review the manager's RI-promotion efforts and engagement with the industry						
Other						
None of the above						

Process/portfolio construction/investment valuation



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)?	Private equity	
Review the process for ensuring the quality of the ESG data used						
Review and agree the use of ESG data in the investment decision making process	V	V				
Review and agree the impact of ESG analysis on investment decisions	V	V	V	I		
Review and agree ESG objectives (e.g. risk reduction, return seeking, real-world impact)						
Review and agree manager's ESG risk framework						
Review and agree ESG risk limits at athe portfolio level (portfolio construction) and other ESG objectives						
Review how ESG materiality is evaluated by the manager	V	V	V	Ø	V	
Review process for defining and communicating on ESG incidents						
Review and agree ESG reporting frequency and detail						
Other, specify						
None of the above						

	SAM 02.3	Indicate the selection process and its ESG/RI components							
	☑ Review ESG/RI responses to RfP, RfI, DDQ etc.								
	□ Review responses to PRI's Limited Partners' Responsible Investment Due Diligence Questionnaire (LP DDQ)								
	☑ Review publicly available information on ESG/RI								
☐ Review assurance process on ESG/RI data and processes									
	□ Review PRI Transparency Reports								
	☐ Request and discuss PRI Assessment Reports								
	☑ Meetings •	with the potential shortlisted managers covering ESG/RI themes							
	☑ Site visits	to potential managers offices							
	☑ Other, spe	ecify							
		quity and Fixed Income Investments: The complete selection process involves both investment due and operational due diligence (the latter is conducted by an external party). Investment due diligence							

External Equity and Fixed Income Investments: The complete selection process involves both investment due diligence and operational due diligence (the latter is conducted by an external party). Investment due diligence includes both quantitative assessment (such as holdings and returns-based analysis) as well as qualitative assessment. Asset manager meetings with an in-depth go-through of the investment cases and the underlying investment philosophy are important part of the qualitative assessment - and also the stage where ESG integration into investment analysis and decision-making, and the financial materiality impact of these decisions, is especially addressed during the selection process.

SAM 02.4

When selecting external managers does your organisation set any of the following:

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non- financial)?	Private equity
ESG performance development targets					
ESG score					
ESG weight					
Real world economy targets					
Other RI considerations	V	V	V	V	V
None of the above					

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

External Equity, Fixed Income and Private Equity Investments: Our assessment is a holistic one rather than an assignment of pre-determined scores or weights for the factors covered in the RFP or DDQ. In our assessment we consider various aspects relating to the asset management firm, including but not limited to, investment team quality, investment philosophy, process and alignment of interests. RI considerations are a natural part of the deep, fundamental-based investment analysis for which we have appreciation in manager selection.



SAM 02.5

Describe how the ESG information reviewed and discussed affects the selection decision making process.[OPTIONAL]

External Equity, Fixed Income and Private Equity Investments: We search for asset managers with thorough understanding of the long-term industry and company drivers. ESG-related factors are no exception to this and are a natural part of the investment analysis.

03	Mano	latory	Public	Additional Assessed	PR	12
SAM 0	3.1	Indicate how your organisation typic majority of the manager selection p		manager's active ownership pra	ctices	in the
		(Proxy) voting				
					LE	
Review	the mai	nager's voting policy				
Review	the mai	nager's ability to align voting activitie	s with clients' spec	ific voting policies		
Review	the mai	nager's process for informing clients	about voting decis	ions		
Ensure	that vot	ing outcomes feed back into the inve	estment decision-m	aking process		
Review	the nun	nber of votes cast as a percentage o	f ballots/AGMs or h	noldings and available rationale		
Other a	ctive ow	nership voting issues in your selection	on process; specify	/		
None of	f the abo	ove			V	
SAM 0	3.2	Describe how you assess if the man	nager's engageme	nt approach is effective.		
□ lmp	act on i	nvestment decisions				
☐ Fina	ancial in	npact on target company or asset cla	ass			
□ Imp	act on E	ESG profile of company or the portfo	lio			
□ Evi	dence o	f changes in corporate practices(i.e.	ESG policies and i	mplementation activities)		
☐ Oth	er, spec	cify				
✓ Nor	ne of the	ahove				



	SAM 03.3	Describe how you assess if the ma	anager's voting a	approach is effective/appropriate	
	☐ Impact or	n investment decisions			
	☐ Impact or	n ESG profile of company or the portf	olio		
	☐ Evidence	e of changes in corporate practices(i.e	e. ESG policies a	nd implementation activities)	
	☐ Other, sp	pecify			
	☑ None of t	the above			
	Appointme	ent			
SAM	04 Ma	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
OAM	U4 IMA	ndatory	1 dblic	Oole Assessed	TIXIT
	SAM 04.1			tructure of the product allows, your coppointment and/or commitment proc	
	Sets stan	ndard benchmarks or ESG benchmark	KS		
	☐ Defines E	ESG objectives			
	☐ Sets ince	entives and controls linked to the ESG	objectives		
	☐ Requires	reporting on ESG objectives			
	☐ Requires	the investment manager to adhere to	ESG guidelines	s, regulations, principles or standard	S
	□ None of t				
	☐ None of t	the above, we invest only in pooled fu	inds and have a	thorough selection process	
		Dravida an avample per accet also	on of your bonob	marka ahiaatiyaa inaantiyaa/aantral	o and
	SAM 04.2			marks, objectives, incentives/control ided in your managers' appointment	
		Asset class			
	✓ Listed	equity (LE)			
		Benchmark			
	⊠ S	tandard benchmark			
	□Е	SG benchmark, specify			
		ESG Objectives			
	✓ W	Ve do not define ESG objectives			
		Incentives and controls			
	✓ W	Ve do not set incentives and controls			



Reporting requirements

- We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives
- ☑ Fixed income SSA (SSA)

Benchmark

☑ Standard benchmark, specify

The benchmarks used are standard current amount outstanding-weighted indices for fixed income. Our objective is to outperform the chosen benchmarks over a long horizon.

☐ ESG benchmark, specify

ESG Objectives

Incentives and controls

☑ We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

- We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives
- ☑ Fixed income Corporate (financial)

Benchmark

The benchmarks used are standard current amount outstanding-weighted indices for fixed income. Our objective is to outperform the chosen benchmarks over a long horizon.

 $\hfill \square$ ESG benchmark, specify

ESG Objectives

Incentives and controls

 $\ oxdot$ We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

- We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives
- ☑ Fixed income Corporate (non-financial)

Benchmark

☑ Standard benchmark, specify

The benchmarks used are standard current amount outstanding-weighted indices for fixed income. Our objective is to outperform the chosen benchmarks over a long horizon.



☐ ESG benchmark, specify
ESG Objectives
☑ We do not define ESG objectives
Incentives and controls
☑ We do not set incentives and controls
Reporting requirements
● We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives☑ Private equity
Benchmark
 ✓ Standard benchmark, specify The benchmark used is an absolute return benchmark. □ ESG benchmark, specify
ESG Objectives ☑ We do not define ESG objectives
Incentives and controls
☑ We do not set incentives and controls
Reporting requirements
We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives
SAM 04.4 Indicate which of these actions your organisation might take if any of the requirements are not met
☐ Discuss requirements not met and set project plan to rectify ☐ Place investment manager on a "watch list"
☐ Track and investigate reason for non-compliance
☐ Re-negotiate fees
☐ Failing all actions, terminate contract with the manager
☑ Other, specify
External Equity, Fixed Income and Private Equity Investments: Review and discuss the performance and its drivers in more detail with the asset manager.
□ No actions are taken if any of the ESG requirements are not met

Monitoring



SAM 05 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

SAM 05.1

When monitoring managers, indicate which of the following types of responsible investment information your organisation typically reviews and evaluates

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	Private equity	
ESG objectives linked to investment strategy						
Evidence on how the ESG incorporation strategy(ies) affected the investment decisions and financial / ESG performance of the portfolio/fund	V	V			☑	
Compliance with investment restrictions and any controversial investment decisions						
ESG portfolio characteristics						
How ESG materiality has been evaluated by the manager in the monitored period	V	\checkmark	V	V		
Information on any ESG incidents						
Metrics on the real economy influence of the investments						
PRI Transparency Reports						
PRI Assessment Reports						
RI-promotion and engagement with the industry to enhance RI implementation						
Other general RI considerations in investment management agreements; specify						
None of the above						

SAM 05.2

When monitoring external managers, does your organisation set any of the following to measure compliance/progress



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	Private equity	
ESG score						
ESG weight						
ESG performance minimum threshold						
Real world economy targets						
Other RI considerations						
None of the above	V	V	V	V	V	

SAM 05.3

Provide additional information relevant to your organisation's monitoring processes of external managers. [OPTIONAL]

External Equity and Fixed Income Management: The monitoring of the external asset managers / underlying securities in their portfolio entails a) a regular dialogue with the asset managers, b) an annual ESG survey and c) ESG analysis. The portfolio managers regularly address RI in their dialogue with the asset managers. ESG-related topics are intertwined with our managers focus on deep, fundamental-based investment analysis. In addition, an annual ESG survey is sent out to all external equity and fixed income asset managers in the portfolio. The survey addresses asset managers' ESG policies, their RI-related activities during the year and whether the asset manager has signed PRI or intends to do so. The depth of the ESG integration, measurement of the financial materiality and active ownership mechanisms are addressed particularly. In 2017, the survey was combined with a questionnaire on the longer-term beliefs of our asset managers. ESG analysis is a work-in progress and is currently able to cover external equity investments. Responsibility indicators can be used for top-level portfolio analysis, relative analysis for sub-groups (such as comparisons with a geographic dimension) as well as for examining individual investments in absolute terms.

Private Equity Investments: There is an ongoing dialogue with all of our managers, information through reporting and annual meetings. Keva takes a seat on the Limited Partners Advisory Board in many of our investments where conflicts of issues are solved and there is a good possibility for encouraging more ESG and PRI initiavitives.

For example, if you require your external managers to undertake carbon portfolio monitoring, you are strongly encouraged to report this here.

SAM	06	Mandatory		Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
		When monitoring managers, indicat organisation typically reviews and e				
			(Proxy) voting			



	LE
Report on voting undertaken (with outcomes and examples)	
Report on voting decisions taken	
Adherence with the agreed upon voting policy	
Other RI considerations relating to (proxy) voting in investment management agreements; specify	V
None of the above	

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

<u>External Equity and Fixed Income Investments</u>: We have a standard section in the Investment Guidelines that investment managers will vote on our behalf.

Outputs and outcomes SAM 09 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,6

SAM 09.1

Provide examples of how ESG issues have been addressed in the manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring process for your organisation during the reporting year.

Topic or issue	ESG Manager Survey on Long-Term Trends
Conducted by	☑ Internal staff
Asset class	☑ All asset classes
	☐ Listed Equity
	☐ Fixed income – SSA
	☐ Fixed income – corporate (financial)
	☐ Fixed income – corporate (non financial)
	☐ Private equity
Scope and process	ESG-related risks and opportunities are often longer-term phenomena; the risks materialize and the opportunities can be capitalized over an extended time frame. In 2017, a survey was conducted on the long-term beliefs of the external equity and fixed income asset managers in the portfolio.
	The survey addressed seven mega-trends; growing middle class in emerging economies, ageing population, rising urbanization, rising cyber dependency, climate change, rising income/wealth disparity and increasing polarization of societies.
	The survey contrasted the risk of each trend to its corresponding opportunity, a) the importance of the trend in terms of the portfolio risk/opportunity and b) the possibility to mitigate/capitalize the risk/opportunity, respectively.
Outcomes	The answers, across equity and fixed income portfolios, and covering both developed and emerging markets, portrayed a comprehensive picture on the longer-term risks and opportunities present in the portfolio. In addition, the survey deepened understanding on the asset managers' way to conduct investment analysis. It also helped to understand how the significance of each long-term trend varies by asset class, geograhically, and based on the development level of different markets.



Topic or issue	Integration of ESG into Manager Database
Conducted by	☑ Internal staff
Asset class	✓ All asset classes □ Listed Equity □ Fixed income – SSA □ Fixed income – corporate (financial) □ Fixed income – corporate (non financial) □ Private equity
Scope and process	In 2017, a new manager database was introduced to the External Equity and Fixed Income Unit. A tailormade database was created in close co-operation between extenal consultants and two portfolio managers from the team. A natural integration of ESG factors into operational processes was one key area in the database development phase. ESG is one of the primary areas covered in each asset management firm / strategy information. Meeting note layout has focused areas to cover ESG- related topics.
Outcomes	The new database supports the integration of ESG factors into the operational processes. It helps to consistently store, view and search for ESG related items and discussions.



Topic or issue	Regular discussion surrounding ESG with one of the asset managers
Conducted by	☑ Internal staff
Asset class	 □ All asset classes ☑ Listed Equity □ Fixed income – SSA □ Fixed income – corporate (financial) □ Fixed income – corporate (non financial) □ Private equity
Scope and process	Discussion topics: The manager has improved their own due diligence questionnaire. G has always been an important factor but now the manager has added sections E and S and aims to cover at least one E, S or G issue in each of the discussions they have with the management. The asset manager has also become supporter of their country's Stewardship Principles. Their intention is to study governance codes / stewadship principles in all of the countries they invest in and write a note about their observations to clients next year. In addition, they are revising their voting policy and planning to write company-wide "investment beliefs" document that will include ESG as well.
Outcomes	Monitoring and deeper understanding of manager's ESG policy, processes and any forthcoming development areas in this respect.



Topic or issue	More in depth discussions regarding ESG all larger managers
Conducted by	☑ Internal staff
Asset class	☐ All asset classes
	☐ Listed Equity
	□ Fixed income – SSA
	☐ Fixed income – corporate (financial)
	☐ Fixed income – corporate (non financial)
	☑ Private equity
Scope and process	We have more thorough discussions regarding ESG during our due diligence session and through our participation in the limited partners advisory boards. The goal has been to increase the focus on ESG, get an understanding on what stage our managers are in integraing ESG in their investment processess and reporting.
Outcomes	With a deeper understanding on the efforts our managers have done in ESG we can share best practices between our managers and encourage the managers that have not gotten as far to develop further.
□ We ar	example 5 re not able to provide examples corporation in actively managed listed equities
Implem	entation processes
l 01	Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1
New selecarefully	Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to
ESG i	incorporation strategy (select all that apply)
	☐ Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
	☐ Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
	☐ Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
V	☑ Screening and integration strategies



Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied		%
	100	
☐ Thematic and integration str	ategies	
☐ Screening and thematic stra	tegies	
☐ All three strategies combined	d	

Total actively managed listed equities

☐ We do not apply incorporation strategies

100%

LEI 01.2

Describe your organisation's approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.

ESG factors are integrated in our equity portfolio management and investment process. We integrated ESG factors in our fundamental economy, industry and company analysis (themes, megatrends, resources, legislation, regulation, risks etc) as well as in valuation tools. According to our investment philosophy, stocks are selected based on several quality criterion which includes ESG factors. Furthermore, we use screening and research by an external service provider to complement our own resources.

May include a discussion of the following:

- The main ESG strategies in use, and the motivation for its use.
- Who is responsible for the implementation of these strategies.
- How your ESG incorporation strategies differ (e.g. by sector, geography, etc.).
- · If relevant, how combinations of strategies are used.

LEI 01.3

If assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, briefly describe how these combinations are used. [Optional]

In the active equity portfolios, the ESG factors are integrated in the stock selection process and twice a year the portfolios are screened for new reported ESG violations or controversies. In the passive equity portfolio, the holdings are also screened twice a year for new reported ESG violations or controversies.

LEI 02 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 1

LEI 02.1

Indicate what ESG information you use in your ESG incorporation strategies and who provides this information.

Type of ESG information

☐ Raw ESG company data

☑ Company-related analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information



☑ ESG research provider
☑ Sell-side
☐ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
☑ In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
Indicate who provides this information
☑ ESG research provider
☑ Sell-side
☐ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
☑ In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
☐ Country-related analysis or ratings
☑ Screened stock list
Indicate who provides this information
☑ ESG research provider
□ Sell-side
☐ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
☐ In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
☑ ESG issue-specific analysis or ratings
Indicate who provides this information
☑ ESG research provider
□ Sell-side
☐ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
☐ In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
☐ Other, specify
Provide a brief description of the ESG information used, highlighting any different sources of
information across your ESG incorporation strategies.
Company and sector research by sell side analysts is extensively used. In addition, other public information on
company web-pages (eg. sustainablity reports), company meetings and discussions with company management increase the insight to company cultures and their processes. Specific ESG research and ratings from ESG
iorease the maight to company cultures and their processes. Specific ESG research and fathlys holl ESG

Company and sector research by sell side analysts is extensively used. In addition, other public information on company web-pages (eg. sustainability reports), company meetings and discussions with company management increase the insight to company cultures and their processes. Specific ESG research and ratings from ESG research provider are used for industry and company analysis as well as for portfolio reporting. In addition, an other external service provider analyzes companies and sectors and provide information on ESG violations and their engagement with these companies.

LEI 02.3

Indicate if you incentivise brokers to provide ESG research

 $\bigcirc \ \mathsf{Yes}$

No



LEI 02.5

Additional information.[Optional]

Brokers are incentivised by ranking the quality of their research - thus including indirectly ESG research

LEI 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a process through which information derived from ESG engagement and/or (proxy) voting activities is made available for use in investment decision-making.

☑ Engagement

⑥ We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.

○ We occasionally make this information available.

○ We do not make this information available.

Additional information. [Optional]

We have access to the research portal and weekly alerts issued by our external ESG research and service providers. Furthermore, twice a year the external ESG service provider screens our portfolios and reports us the violations, the engagement activities and discussions with these companies as well as any progress. All this information is passed to portfolio managers and made available on our intranet immediately.

(A) Implementa	ation: Screening			
LEI 04	Mandato	ory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
	LEI 04.1	Indicate and describe the type equities.	of screening you a	apply to your internally managed	active listed
	Type of scr ☑ Negati	reening ve/exclusionary screening			
	Screer	ned by			
	□P	roduct			
	□ A	ctivity			
	□ Se	ector			
	□С	ountry/geographic region			
	☑ E	nvironmental and social practices	and performance		
	□С	orporate governance			



Description

The portfolios are screened twice a year by the external service provider who does global research as well as engagement on companies, sectors and countries and the screening is norm based and uses their own classification and recommendations system.

Describe which ESG screens are used, for which funds and whether they are used in combination with other screens.
□ Positive/best-in-class screening
☑ Norms-based screening
Screened by ☑ UN Global Compact Principles
☐ The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
☑ International Labour Organization Conventions
☐ United Nations Convention Against Corruption
☑ OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
☐ Other, specify
Description

The portfolios are screened twice a year by the external service provider who does global research as well as engagement on companies, sectors and countries and the screening is norm based and uses their own classification and recommendations system.

LEI 04.2

Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.

According to our principles of responsible investments we monitor and analyse complience with international conventions among investee. The external service provider has establised the criterion for the classification of violations and the recommendations are based on these criterion. The review of criterion as well as research on companies, sectors and countries is a continous process. The screenings of the portfolios are run twice a year.

LEI 05 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1



LEI 05.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure screening is based on robust analysis.

 ☑ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products. ☑ Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies ☐ External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar ☑ Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
and correct inaccuracies ☐ External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar ☐ Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar ☑ Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
☐ A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
$\hfill \square$ A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
☐ Review and evaluation of external research providers
☐ Other, specify
☐ None of the above
LEI 05.2 Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your ESG screening strategy.
O <10%
O 10-50%
O 51-90%
● >90%
LEI 05.3 Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.
Quarterly or more frequently
○ Bi-annually
O Annually
C / timedily
Less frequently than annually

Updating of ESG ratings is a continuos process

Volunta	ry	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
LEI 06.1	Indicate which processes your	organisation uses	to ensure fund criteria are not br	reached
	tic checks are performed to ensur	re that stocks meet	the funds' screening criteria.	
	ed IT systems prevent investment sitive screening criteria.	t managers from inv	vesting in excluded stocks or thos	se that do
☐ Audits of	fund holdings are undertaken reg	gularly by internal a	udit function	
☐ Periodic a	auditing/checking of the organisat	tions RI funds by ex	xternal party	
\square Other, sp	ecify			
☐ None of t	he above			



LEI 06

LEI 06.2

If breaches of fund screening criteria are identified - describe the process followed to correct those breaches.

When a new company is identified and reported to have breached ESG criteria, we have defined a process in our ESG policy: According to this policy, further investments are not made on the company shares, we start engament immediately by studing the case, contacting the violating company and discussing the violation, the policy and the actions of the company. If the engagement is considered ineffective on the company's practices or policies, the company will be excluded from the investment universe and the holdings will be sold. Only if and when the company will be reported to have made corrective actions, we can invest again on the company.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues **LEI 08 Public Core Assessed Mandatory** PRI 1 Indicate which ESG factors you systematically research as part of your investment analysis **LEI 08.1** and the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios that is impacted by this analysis. **ESG** issues Proportion impacted by analysis Environmental Environmental 0 <10% O 10-50% O 51-90% ● >90% Social Social ○ <10% O 10-50% O 51-90% >90% Corporate Corporate Governance Governance ○ <10% O 10-50% O 51-90% >90% LEI 08.2

Analysis of ESG issues are integrated in all internally, actively managed listed equity investments.

LEI 09	Voluntary		Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
		licate which processes your oust analysis.	organisation uses	to ensure ESG integration is base	ed on a
	☑ Comprehensiv	re ESG research is undertak	en or sourced to de	etermine companies' activities an	d products
	LEI 09.2	Indicate the proportion of comprehensive ESG rese		ged listed equity portfolio that is s ntegration strategy.	ubject to
	○ <10%				
	O 10-50%				
	○ 51-90%				
	>90%				
	☑ Companies are and correct inaccorrect.		ou or your research	provider to review ESG research	n on them
	☑ Third-party ES	G ratings are updated regul	arly.		
	LEI 09.3	Indicate how frequently th are updated.	ird party ESG rating	gs that inform your ESG integration	on strategy
	Quarterly	or more frequently			
	O Bi-Annua	lly			
	Annually				
	O Less freq	uently than annually			
	□ A periodic revi	ew of the internal research i	s carried out		
	☐ Structured, req within the investr		between responsib	ole investment staff and the fund	manager or
	☑ ESG risk profil	e of a portfolio against bend	hmark		
	☐ Other, specify				
	☐ None of the ab	oove			
	LEI 09.5 De	scribe how ESG information	is held and used b	by your portfolio managers.	
	✓ ESG information	on is held within centralised	databases or tools	and it is accessible by all relevan	nt staff
		on or analysis is a standard nalysis generated by investr		of all company research notes or	
	☐ Systematic red	cords are kept that capture h	now ESG information	on and research was incorporated	l into

LEI 10 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose Public Core Assessed PRI 1

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



investment decisions□ Other, specify□ None of the above

LEI 10.1

Indicate which aspects of investment analysis you integrate material ESG information into.

☑ Economic analysis Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis 0 <10% O 11-50% ○ 51-90% 90% ☑ Industry analysis Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis 0 <10% ○ 11-50% ○ 51-90% **90%** ☑ Quality of management Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis ○ <10% ○ 11-50% ○ 51-90% 90% ☑ Analysis of company strategy Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis O <10% O 11-50% ○ 51-90% **90%** ☐ Portfolio weighting ☐ Fair value/fundamental analysis ☐ Other, specify

LEI 10.5

In all internally actively managed equity investments ESG factors are evaluated how they affect economic growth, macro themes, consumrer preferences, regulation changes etc (economic and industry analysis), financial analysis is combined with ESG information (company analysis) and integrated in valuation tools as earnings estimates and/or risk premium.



	Manda Disclo	atory to Report Voluntary to ese	Public	Descriptiv	ve Pi
LEI 1		Indicate if you manage passive lis	sted equity funds	that incorporate	ESG issues in the index
	es				
	LEI 11.2	Indicate the percentage of your incorporated in the index cor			s for which ESG issues a
		(% of total passive listed ed	quity funds)		
	O <10%	· /o			
	O 10-5	0%			
	○ 51-9	0%			
	● >90%	%			
	LEI 11.3	Specify index/fund name, proof the following ESG incorpo			nethodology and indicate
	☑ Index/fu	und 1			
Index	/fund nam	ne and brief description of ESG	methodology		ESG incorporation strategy
In Ke	va Passive	Europe portfolio holdings are sci	reened twice a ye		incorporation
In Ke	va Passive d screening	Europe portfolio holdings are sci	reened twice a ye		incorporation strategy
In Ke	va Passive d screening	Europe portfolio holdings are sci	reened twice a ye		incorporation strategy ☑ Screening ☐ Thematic ☐ Integration of
In Ke	va Passive d screening	Europe portfolio holdings are sci	reened twice a ye		incorporation strategy ☑ Screening ☐ Thematic ☐ Integration of ESG issues
In Ke	va Passive d screening ions are pri	Europe portfolio holdings are scr g and we have process where cor imarily engaged and secondly ex	reened twice a ye		incorporation strategy ☑ Screening ☐ Thematic ☐ Integration of
In Ke	va Passive d screening ions are pri	Europe portfolio holdings are sci g and we have process where cor imarily engaged and secondly ex-	reened twice a ye		incorporation strategy ☑ Screening ☐ Thematic ☐ Integration of ESG issues
In Ke	va Passive d screening ions are pri	Europe portfolio holdings are scr g and we have process where cor imarily engaged and secondly ex und 2	reened twice a ye		incorporation strategy ☑ Screening ☐ Thematic ☐ Integration of ESG issues
In Ke	va Passive d screening ions are pri	Europe portfolio holdings are sci g and we have process where cor imarily engaged and secondly ex und 2 und 3 und 4	reened twice a ye		incorporation strategy ☑ Screening ☐ Thematic ☐ Integration of ESG issues
In Key based violati	va Passive d screening ions are pri lindex/fu lindex/fu lindex/fu	Europe portfolio holdings are sci g and we have process where cor imarily engaged and secondly ex und 2 und 3 und 4	reened twice a ye		incorporation strategy ☑ Screening ☐ Thematic ☐ Integration of ESG issues
In Ke	va Passive d screening ions are pri lindex/fu lindex/fu lindex/fu	Europe portfolio holdings are sci g and we have process where cor imarily engaged and secondly ex und 2 und 3 und 4	reened twice a ye		incorporation strategy ☑ Screening ☐ Thematic ☐ Integration of ESG issues



PRI 2

Core Assessed

Public

Mandatory

LEA 01

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1	Indicate whether your organ	isation has a formal	engagement policy.	
Yes				
LEA 01.	2 Attach or provide a UR	L to your engagemer	nt policy.	
O Attac	hment provided:			
	provided:			
	URL			
https:	//www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-	tiedostot/tama-on-ke	vatiedostot/liite omistai	iaohiauksen-
	atteet 29012017.pdf	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u>asinjaanissii</u>
LEA 01.	3 Indicate what your engage	agement policy cover	rs:	
☐ Confl	icts of interest			
☐ Inside	er information			
☑ Align	ment with national stewardshi	ip code requirements	;	
□ Due o	diligence and monitoring proc	ess		
☐ Priori	tisation of engagements			
☐ Trans	sparency of engagement activ	rities		
☐ Envir	onmental factors			
☐ Socia	al factors			
	rnance factors			
□ Othe	r, describe			
□ None	of the above			
LEA 01.	4 Provide a brief overview	w of your organization	n's approach to engagem	nent
and pro	wnership and engagement cr mote corporate responsibility. ance on this indicator available			tities that we invest in
ONO				



Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

LEA 02.1

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
engagements	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☐ Other, specify
	☐ We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative	☐ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
engagements	☐ To encourage improved/inreased ESG disclosure
	☐ Other, specify
	☑ We do not engage via collaborative engagements
	Please specify why your organisation does not engage via
	collaborative engagements.
	We engage via internal staff but have not had resources to engage collaborative engagements beyond CDP, but the process is under development and priorities will be first defined
Service provider	☐ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
engagements	☐ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☐ Other, specify
	☑ We do not engage via service providers
	Please specify why your organisation does not engage via service providers. We have not had resources to participate in service provider engagements but the process is under development and priorities will be first defined.

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

Yes



	LEA 03.2	internal staff.	ia used to identify and p	prioritise engagement activitie	o damed out by
		aphy / market of the cor	mpanies		
	☑ Materia	ality of ESG factors			
	☐ System	nic risks to global portfo	olios		
		ure (holdings)			
	✓ In resp	onse to ESG impacts the	hat have already occur	red.	
	☑ As a re	esponse to divestment p	oressure		
	☐ Consul	Itation with clients/bene	eficiaries		
	☐ Consul	Itation with other stakeh	nolders (i.e. NGOs, trad	de unions etc.)	
	☐ As a fo	ollow-up from a voting d	lecision		
	☐ Client r	request			
	\square Other,	describe			
(○ No				
Ne			Public led to this indicator. P	Core Assessed Please review your prefilled	PRI 2
Ne cai	w selection o refully.	ptions have been add	led to this indicator. P		
Ne cai	w selection o refully.	ptions have been add	led to this indicator. P	Please review your prefilled	
Ne cai	w selection o refully. EA 04.1 • Yes	ptions have been add	led to this indicator. P	Please review your prefilled	
Ne cai	w selection orefully. EA 04.1 • Yes • Yes	ptions have been add	led to this indicator. Poecific objectives for you	Please review your prefilled	
Ne cai	w selection orefully. EA 04.1 • Yes • Yes, • Yes,	ptions have been add Indicate if you define sp	led to this indicator. Poecific objectives for you	Please review your prefilled	
Ne	w selection orefully. LEA 04.1 Solve Yes, Solve Yes, Solve Yes, Solve Yes,	Indicate if you define sp for all engagement act for the majority of engage	led to this indicator. Poecific objectives for you tivities agement activities gement activities	Please review your prefilled	responses
Ne cai	w selection orefully. EA 04.1 Yes Yes, Yes, Yes, We do no	Indicate if you define span and the span and	led to this indicator. Proceeding objectives for you tivities agement activities gement activities ives for engagement activities the actions that compare	Please review your prefilled ur engagement activities.	responses
Ne cai	w selection orefully. EA 04.1 Yes Yes, Yes, Yes, We do no	Indicate if you define span and the span and	led to this indicator. Proceeding objectives for you tivities agement activities gement activities ives for engagement activities the actions that compare	Please review your prefilled ur engagement activities.	responses
Ne cai	w selection orefully. EA 04.1 Yes Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes,	Indicate if you define span and the span and	led to this indicator. Proceeding objectives for you tivities agement activities gement activities ives for engagement activities the actions that compare	Please review your prefilled ur engagement activities.	responses
Ne car	w selection orefully. EA 04.1 Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes	Indicate if you define space, for all engagement act, for the majority of engagent define specific objections are the specific objections are the specific objections are the specific out by	led to this indicator. Proceeding objectives for your tivities agement activities gement activities ives for engagement actives for engagement actives the actions that comparinternal staff.	Please review your prefilled ur engagement activities.	responses
Ne can	w selection orefully. LEA 04.1 Yes Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes Yes, Yes,	Indicate if you define span and a	led to this indicator. Proceeding objectives for you tivities agement activities gement activities ives for engagement activities ives for engagement actions that comparinternal staff.	Please review your prefilled ur engagement activities.	responses



internal staff.

LEA 04.3

Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

\checkmark	Define	timelines	for	your	objectives
--------------	--------	-----------	-----	------	------------

☑ Tracking and/or monitoring progress against defined objectives

☑ Tracking and or monitoring progress of actions taken when original objectives are not met

☐ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis

☐ Other, please specify

☐ We do not monitor and evaluate progress of engagement activities carried out by internal staff

LEA 04.4

Additional information. [Optional]

Portfolio managers have met around 290 companies/managements during the reporting year. In most of the meetings ESG issues have been raised and disussed, topics depending very much on the sector. Furthermore, the engagement prosess with the norm based screened and excluded companies conducted by the service provider is monitored continuously.

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 09

Mandatory

Public

Additional Assessed

PRI 1,2

LEA 09.1

Indicate if insights gained from your engagements are shared with your internal or external investment managers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	Yes, systematically
marviada, mermar otan engagemente	O Yes, occasionally
	○ No

LEA 09.2

Additional information. [Optional

It is the portfolio managers themselves who engage with the companies of their respective sector coverage, so the engagement insights are directly reflected in investment decisions. Also, in the meeting of the Steering Committee of the Responsible Investment the engagements are discussed and shared with the other internal investment teams.

May include a discussion of the following:

- How you decide what information to pass to investment decision-makers.
- What you expect investment decision-makers to do with the insights you pass on.
- How you monitor their use of insights you passed on.
- Whether ESG data collected through engagement feeds into an internal ratings tool/platform.

LEA 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 2



LEA 10.1

Indicate if you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	 Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements We do not track

LEA 10.2 Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

Each portfolio manager tracks the number and progress of their engagements and the information is gathered annually for further reporting. The date, the company contact and discussion topics are reported on each engagement/meeting.

May include a discussion of the following:

- The systems in place to track engagement progress.
- A description of the information collected.
- · How regularly tracking systems are updated and to whom this information is provided
- Any auditing procedures that occur.

ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
-----------	--------	---------	-------

FI 01.1

Indicate 1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and 2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.



SSA		
		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	0	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
		Screening + thematic strategies
	0	
		All three strategies combined
	0	
		No incorporation strategies applied
	100	
Corporate (financial)	100%	
Corporate (marrelar)		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	100	

	Thematic + integration strategies
0	
	Screening + thematic strategies
0	
	All three strategies combined
0)
	No incorporation strategies applied
0	
1	00%

Corporate (non-		
financial)		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	100	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
		Screening + thematic strategies
	0	
		All three strategies combined
	0	
		No incorporation strategies applied
	0	
	100%	
	. 30 /0	

Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

According to our investment philosophy we invest in quality issuers of financial and non-financial corporates. ESG factors are integrated in general, economic, industry, issuer and financial analysis to evaluate the quality of the issuers we invest in. ESG integration is an integral part of our investment decisions. In addition, our porftolio is screened twice a year by an external service provider for ESG violations or controversies.

FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1

F	03.1	Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:						
	☑ Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies							
V	☑ Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate							
	☐ Internal audits of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way							
	ESG anal	ysis is benchmarked for	quality	against other provide	ers			
	Other, sp							
	None of t	-						
F	03.2	Describe how your ES	G inforr	mation or analysis is	shared among your investmen	t team.		
V	ESG info	mation is held within a co	entralis	ed database and is a	accessible to all investment staf	f		
	ESG infor	mation is a standard iten	n on all	individual issuer sur	nmaries, 'tear sheets', 'dashboa	ards' or similar		
	Investme		scuss E	SG information on is	ssuers as a standard item durin	g investment		
	Records	capture how ESG information	ation an	d research was inco	rporated into investment decisi	ons		
	Other, sp	ecify						
	None of t	ne above						
F	03.3	Additional information.	[Optior	nal]				
					our external ESG research and			
					reens our portfolio and reports t suers as well as any progress.			
					le on our intranet immediately.			
(A)	Impleme	ntation: Screening						
(/-)	mpieme	nation: oorcoming						
	Manda	atory		Public	Gateway	PRI 1		
F	FI 04.1 Indicate the type of screening you conduct.							
S	elect all tha	at apply						
			Co	rporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)			
				<u> </u>		\dashv		
	Negative	exclusionary screening						



 $\sqrt{}$

 $\sqrt{}$

Positive/best-in-class screening

Norms-based screening

FI 04.2

Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income

The portfolio is screened twice a year, the screening is norms-based and it it screened by compliance with international conventions (e.g. UN Global Compact, International Labour Organization Conventions and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises), the screening is conducted by an external service provider which has a defined classification and recommendation framework.

۳	107	Manda	tory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1	
	FI 0	7.1	Indicate which systems your organized breached in fixed income investment		sure that fund screening criteria a	are not	
	Type of so	reening	Checks				
			☑ Checks are performed to ens	ure that issuers me	eet screening criteria		
	Norms-bas screening		☑ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least every 2 years.				
	screening		☐ Automated IT systems prever issuers or bonds that do not me		nagers from investing in excluded a		
			☐ Audits of fund holdings are ur functions	ndertaken regularly	by internal audit or compliance		
			☐ Other, specify				
			\square None of the above				

(C) Implementation: Integration

FI 11 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

FI 11.1

Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis.

Analysis of ESG factors are integrated in all internally, actively managed financial and non-financial corporate debt investments. Financial and ESG analysis are combined in evaluating investments.

Corporate (financial)

ESG factors are evalued how they affect economic growth, macro themes, consumer preferences, regulation changes etc (economic and industry analysis conducted for investment decisions), financial analysis is combined with ESG information (company/issuer analysis) and integrated in valuation (issuer risk). For different industries and for financial or non-financial corporates the materiality and importancy of E, S and G factors varies.



Corporate (non-financial)

ESG factors are evalued how they affect economic growth, macro themes, consumer preferences, regulation changes etc. (economic and industry analysis conducted for investment decisions), financial analysis is combined with ESG information (company/issuer analysis) and integrated in valuation (issuer risk). For different industries and for financial or non-financial corporates the materiality and importancy of E, S and G factors varies.

FI 12 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

FI 12.1 Indicate how ESG information is typically used as part of your investment process.

Select all that apply

	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non- financial)	
ESG analysis is integrated into fundamental analysis	V	V	
ESG analysis is integrated into security weighting decisions			
ESG analysis is integrated into portfolio construction decisions		V	
ESG analysis is a standard part of internal credit ratings or assessment			
ESG analysis for issuers is a standard agenda item at investment committee meetings			
ESG analysis is regularly featured in internal research notes or similar			
ESG analysis is a standard feature of ongoing portfolio monitoring		☑	
ESG analysis features in all internal issuer summaries or similar documents			
Other, specify			

FI 13	Mand	latory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
_	42.4	Indicate the extent to which ESC	iccupe are reviewe	od in your intogration process	



	Environment	Social	Governance
Corporate (financial)	Environmental	Social	Governance
(iiiiaiioiai)	Systematically	Systematically	Systematically
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all
Corporate (non-	Environmental	Social	Governance
financial)	Systematically	Systematically	Systematically
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all

Corporate (financial)

As an integral part of our investment process and decisions we analyze and evaluate systemically the E, S and G factors, in risk and return perspective. According to our investment philosophy we invest in securities of quality issuers, and the management of these issues, specific for industries and corporates are among our quality criteria. The same process is applied on the securities of both financial and non-financial corporates.

Any ongoing legal issues, environmental damage cases, potential breaches of competition law, etc, are carefully analysed to understand the quality of corporate governance and the strength and integrity of the management.

Corporate (non-financial)

As an integral part of our investment process and decisions we analyze and evaluate systemically the E, S and G factors, in risk and return perspective. According to our investment philosophy we invest in securities of quality issuers, and the management of these issues, specific for industries and corporates are among our quality criteria. The same process is applied on the securities of both financial and non-financial corporates.

Any ongoing legal issues, environmental damage cases, potential breaches of competition law, etc, are carefully analysed to understand the quality of corporate governance and the strength and integrity of the management.

Overview					
PR 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6	
1101	Mandatory	I ubiic	Cole Assessed	1 1/1 1-0	

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

PR 01.1 Indicate if your organisation has a Responsible Property Investment (RPI) policy.

Yes



PR 01.2

Provide a URL or attach the document

☑ URL

https://www.keva.fi/globalassets/2-tiedostot/tama-on-keva--tiedostot/sijoitukset-talous-ja-raportointi/responsible-investment-by-asset-class.pdf

☐ Attach Document

 \bigcirc No

PR 01.3

Provide a brief overview of your organisation's approach to responsible investment in property, and how you link responsible investment in property to your business strategy.

We have an Environmental Strategy applied to our Direct Real Estate investments. It sets the guidelines on how we manage our assets and has target consumption levels set.

Pre-investment (selection)

PR 04 Mandatory Public Gateway/Core Assessed PRI 1

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

PR 04.1

Indicate if your organisation typically incorporates ESG issues when selecting property investments.

Yes

PR 04.2

Provide a description of your organisation's approach to incorporating ESG issues in property investment selection.

ESG issues are studied in the selection process by carefully investigating how the asset was or will be built, how it will be managed and what are the technical and environmental risks involved. Usually we make further reports in the due diligence -process about the environmental and energy issues by using outside consultants. We also incorporate environmental certifications to newly built investments. We have also signed The Construction Quality Associations guidelines for responsible construction and we also demand that our contructors hae signed them as well.

PR 04.3

Indicate which E, S and/or G issues are typically considered by your organisation in the property investment selection process, and list up to three examples per issue.

ESG issues

☑ Environmental



	List up to three typical examples per E, S and G issue					
☐ Climate	change adaptation					
□ Contam	ination					
☐ Energy	efficiency					
☐ Energy	supply					
☐ Flooding	□ Flooding					
☐ GHG er	☐ GHG emissions					
☐ Indoor e	environmental quality					
□ Natural	hazards					
☐ Resilien	ice					
☑ Transpo	ortation					
□ Water e	fficiency					
☐ Waste r	nanagement					
□ Water s	upply					
☐ Other						
☐ Other						
	Description [OPTIONAL]					
	ortation possibilities are studied carefully in the investment selection process and usually					
they are com	pulsory to have.					
	List up to three typical examples per E, S and G issue					
☐ Climate	change adaptation					
☑ Contam	ination					
☐ Energy	efficiency					
☐ Energy	supply					
☐ Flooding	g					
☐ GHG er	nissions					
☐ Indoor e	environmental quality					
□ Natural	hazards					
☐ Resilien	nce					
☐ Transpo	☐ Transportation					
☐ Water efficiency						
☐ Waste management						
□ Water s	upply					
☐ Other						
☐ Other						
	Description [OPTIONAL]					

Soil contamination issues are taken into consideration in the investment selection process. If there is a possibility, that the soil could be contaminated, measures will be taken accordingly.



☐ Climate change adaptation
= ominate oriange adaptation
☐ Contamination
☑ Energy efficiency
☐ Energy supply
☐ Flooding
☐ GHG emissions
☐ Indoor environmental quality
☐ Natural hazards
□ Resilience
☐ Transportation
☐ Water efficiency
☐ Waste management
☐ Water supply
□ Other
□ Other
Description [OPTIONAL]
Energy efficiency of a new investment is studied part of the environmental due diligence.
□ Social
□ Social
□ Social
□ Social ☑ Governance
□ Social ☑ Governance List up to three typical examples per E, S and G issue
□ Social ☑ Governance List up to three typical examples per E, S and G issue ☑ Anti-bribery & corruption
□ Social □ Governance List up to three typical examples per E, S and G issue □ Anti-bribery & corruption □ Board structure
□ Social □ Governance List up to three typical examples per E, S and G issue □ Anti-bribery & corruption □ Board structure □ Conflicts of interest
□ Social □ Governance List up to three typical examples per E, S and G issue □ Anti-bribery & corruption □ Board structure □ Conflicts of interest □ Governance structure
□ Social □ Governance List up to three typical examples per E, S and G issue □ Anti-bribery & corruption □ Board structure □ Conflicts of interest □ Governance structure □ Regulatory
□ Social □ Governance List up to three typical examples per E, S and G issue □ Anti-bribery & corruption □ Board structure □ Conflicts of interest □ Governance structure □ Regulatory □ Shareholder structure & rights
□ Social □ Governance List up to three typical examples per E, S and G issue □ Anti-bribery & corruption □ Board structure □ Conflicts of interest □ Governance structure □ Regulatory □ Shareholder structure & rights □ Supply chain governance
□ Social □ Governance List up to three typical examples per E, S and G issue □ Anti-bribery & corruption □ Board structure □ Conflicts of interest □ Governance structure □ Regulatory □ Shareholder structure & rights □ Supply chain governance □ Other
□ Social □ Governance List up to three typical examples per E, S and G issue □ Anti-bribery & corruption □ Board structure □ Conflicts of interest □ Governance structure □ Regulatory □ Shareholder structure & rights □ Supply chain governance □ Other □ Other

We don't engage in business activities with parties that have been convicted of corruption or tax evasion.



	List up to three typic	cal examples per E, S	and G issue	
	☐ Anti-bribery & corruption			
	☐ Board structure			
	☐ Conflicts of interest			
	☐ Governance structure			
	☐ Regulatory			
	☐ Shareholder structure & rights	3		
	☐ Supply chain governance			
	☐ Other			
	☐ Other			
	☐ Other			
	List up to three typic	cal examples per E, S	and G issue	
	☐ Anti-bribery & corruption			
	☐ Board structure			
	☐ Conflicts of interest			
	☐ Governance structure			
	☐ Regulatory			
	☐ Shareholder structure & rights	;		
	☐ Supply chain governance			
	☐ Other			
	☐ Other			
	☐ Other			
○ No				
PR 05	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,3
PK 03	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PKI 1,3
New sele	Indicate what type of ESG infoinvestment selection process.		review your prefilled responses	
✓ Raw	data from the target property asset/co	omnany		
	raisals/audits	mpany		
	chmarks/ratings against similar propert	tv asset		
	ntry level data/benchmarks	.,		
	a aligned with established property repo	orting standards. indu	stry codes and certifications	
	national initiatives, declarations or star		,	
	from engagements with stakeholders		al community surveys)	
	mation from external advisers	. 5	, -,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-	
	er, specify			
	do not track this information			



PR 05.2

Provide a brief description of how this ESG information was incorporated into your investment selection process.

We have a lot of latest information and analysis about the property investment market which we use as the basis of our property investment selection process.

PR 06 **Mandatory Public Core Assessed** PRI 1 New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully. Indicate if ESG issues impacted your property investment selection process during the reporting PR 06.1 ☑ ESG issues helped identify risks and/or opportunities for value creation ☑ ESG issues led to the abandonment of potential investments ☑ ESG issues impacted the investment in terms of price offered and/or paid ☑ ESG issues impacted the terms in the shareholder/purchase agreements and/or lending covenants ☐ ESG issues were considered but did not have an impact on the investment selection process ☐ Other, specify ☐ Not applicable, our organisation did not select any investments in the reporting year ☐ We do not track this potential impact Indicate how ESG issues impacted your property investment deal structuring processes during the PR 06.2 ☑ ESG issues impacted the investment in terms of price offered and/or paid ☑ ESG issues impacted the terms in the shareholder/purchase agreements and/or lending covenants ☐ ESG issues were considered but did not have an impact on the deal structuring process ☐ Other, specify ☐ Not applicable, our organisation did not select any investments in the reporting year \square We do not track this potential impact Selection, appointment and monitoring third-party property managers PRI 4 **PR 07 Mandatory Public Core Assessed** New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

Yes

PR 07.1



of third-party property managers.

Indicate if your organisation includes ESG issues in your selection, appointment and/or monitoring

PR 07.2

Indicate how your organisation includes ESG issues in your selection, appointment and/or monitoring of third party property managers.

 $\ensuremath{\boxdot}$ Selection process of property managers incorporated ESG issues

	Types of actions
☐ Request processes	explanation of how ESG is effectively integrated, including inquiries about governance and
	track records and examples of how the manager implements ESG in their asset and anagement
☐ Discuss	property level out-performance opportunities through greater integration of ESG criteria
☐ Request	explanation of engaging stakeholders on ESG issues
Other, e	xplain
Manage	rs need to fullfill certain governance requirements such as all taxes need to be paid etc.
	Coverage
● >75% to	100%
○ >50% to	75%
○ >25% to	50%
○ >0% to 2	25%
Contractual r	equirements when appointing property managers includes ESG issues
	Types of actions
☐ Include	clear and detailed expectations for incorporating ESG
☐ Require	dedicated ESG procedures in all relevant asset and property management phases
☐ Clear ES	SG reporting requirements
☐ Clear ES	SG performance targets
Other, e	xplain
We requ efficienc	ire managers to take part in our energy consultants advisory and proposals on energy y.
	Coverage
● >75% to	100%
○ >50% to	75%
○ >25% to	50%
○ >0% to 2	25%



☑ Monitoring of property managers covers ESG responsibilities and implementation

	Types of actions		
✓ Perfor timefram	mance against quantitative and material environmental / resource targets over specified e.		
$\hfill\Box$ Performance against quantitative and material environmental / resource targets against relevant benchmarks			
☐ Performance against quantitative and qualitative targets to address social impacts of the portfolio/investment,			
☐ Other, explain			
	Coverage		
⊚ >75%	to 100%		
○ >50% to 75%			
○ >25% to 50%			
○ >0% to	25%		

PR 07.3

Provide a brief description of your organisations selection, appointment and monitoring of third party property managers and how they contribute to the management of ESG issues for your property investments.

Third party managers have contractual duties to fullfill certain requirements involving energy efficiency, repairs and construction and also how to manage their own company. For example we monitor through our energy efficiency consultant the performance of our assets.

Post-investment (monitoring and active ownership)

Overview
PR 08 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

PR 08.1

Indicate if your organisation, and/or property managers, considers ESG issues in post-investment activities relating to your property assets.

Yes



PR 08.2

Indicate whether your organisation, and/or property managers, considers ESG issues in the following post-investment activities relating to your property assets.

- ☑ We consider ESG issues in property monitoring and management
- ☑ We consider ESG issues in property developments and major renovations.
- ☑ We consider ESG issues in property occupier engagements
- ☑ We consider ESG issues in community engagements related to our properties
- ☐ We consider ESG issues in other post-investment activities, specify

PR 08.3

Describe how your organisation, and/or property managers, considers ESG issues in post-investment activities related to your property assets.

For example in energy-efficiency investment decisions, we lock a certain starting point/level and follow the progress how the investment has been performing and fullfilling the prognosed level.

 \bigcirc No

Property monitoring and management

PR 09 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2,3

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

PR 09.1

Indicate the proportion of property assets for which your organisation, and/or property managers, set and monitored ESG targets (KPIs or similar) during the reporting year.

- >90% of property assets
- \bigcirc 51-90% of property assets
- 10-50% of property assets
- <10% of property assets

(in terms of number of property assets)

PR 09.2

Indicate which ESG targets your organisation and/or property managers typically set and monitor

☑ Environmental

Target/KPI	Progress Achieved
Electricity consumption from 110 assets	5,9 % p.a.
Heating consumption from 110 assets	2,5 % p.a.
Water consumption from 110 assets	5,8 % p.a.

Social

☐ Governance

☐ We do not set and/or monitor against targets



Property developments and major renovations

PR 11 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

issues have been considered.

- >90% of active developments and major renovations51-90% of active developments and major renovations
- 10-50% of active developments and major renovations
- <10% of active developments and major renovations
- O N/A, no developments and major renovations of property assets are active

(by number of active property developments and refurbishments)

PR 11.2

PR 11.1

Indicate if the following ESG considerations are typically implemented and monitored in your property developments and major renovations.

Indicate the proportion of active property developments and major renovations where ESG

- ☑ Environmental site selection requirements
- ☑ Environmental site development requirements
- ☑ Sustainable construction materials
- ☑ Water efficiency requirements
- ☑ Energy efficiency requirements
- \square Energy generation from on-site renewable sources
- ☑ Waste management plans at sites
- ☑ Health and safety management systems at sites
- ☑ Construction contractors comply with sustainability guidelines
- ☐ Resilient building design and orientation
- ☐ Other, specify

Occupier engagement

PR 12 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



PR 12.1

Indicate the proportion of property occupiers your organisation, and/or your property managers, engaged with on ESG issues during the reporting year.

- >90% of occupiers
- 50-90% of occupiers
- 10-50% of occupiers
- <10% of occupiers</p>

(in terms of number of occupiers)

PR 12.2 Indicate if the following practises and areas are typically part of your, and/or your property managers', occupier engagements.

□ Distribute a sustainability guide to occupiers
□ Organise occupier events focused on increasing sustainability awareness
□ Deliver training on energy and water efficiency
□ Deliver training on waste minimisation
□ Provide feedback on energy and water consumption and/or waste generation
□ Provide feedback on waste generation

☐ Other, specify

☐ Offer green leases

PR 12.3 Additional information. [Optional]

☑ Carry out occupier satisfaction surveys

In our customer/occupier satisfaction process we engage occupiers to notify any issue (incl. ESG issues) they might have regarding the property asset.

PR 13 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 2

PR 13.1

Indicate the proportion of all leases signed during the reporting year that used green leases or the proportion of Memoranda of Understandings (MoUs) with reference to ESG issues.

- >90% of leases or MoUs
- 50-90% of leases or MoUs
- O 10-50% of leases or MoUs
- <10% of leases or MoUs
 </p>
- O 0% of leases or MoUs
- \bigcirc N/A, no leases or MoUs were signed during the reporting year

(in terms of number of leases or MoUs)



PR 13.2

Additional information.

We have attachments in some lease agreements, that have references to ESG issues. These references are basically guidelines on how to operate in the leased premises in order to achieve for example energy efficiency and so on.

Outputs and outcomes

PR 15 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

PR 15.1

Indicate whether your organisation measures how your approach to responsible investment in property investments has affected financial and/or ESG performance.

☑ We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' financial performance

PR 15.2a

Describe the impact on the following.

Describe the impact on:	Impact
Funds' financial performance	PositiveNegative
	○ No impact

☑ We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' ESG performance

PR 15.2b

Describe the impact on the following.

Describe the impact on:	Impact
Funds' ESG performance	PositiveNegative
	○ No impact

☐ None of the above

PR 15.3

Describe how you are able to determine these outcomes

Through our collaboration with an energy consultant we measure what effect we've had on the consumption and cost levels relating to energy efficiency.

Assurance

CM 1 01.1 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

	CM 1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:					
	☐ Thi	rd part	ty assurance over selected responses	from this year's PF	RI Transparency Report	
	☐ Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year					
	□ Thi year)	rd part	ty assurance or audit of the implement	tation of RI process	ses (that have been reported to th	e PRI this
			udit conducted by internal auditors of ed to the PRI this year)	the implementation	of RI processes and/or RI data the	nat have
	☑ Inte	ernal v	erification of responses before submis	sion to the PRI (e.	g. by the CEO or the board)	
	(• Who	ole PRI Transparency Report has beer	n internally verified		
	(Sele	cted data has been internally verified			
	☐ Oth	ner, sp	ecify			
	□ Noi	ne of tl	he above			
CM 1 01.8	01.2 &	Mar	ndatory	Public	Descriptive	
	CM 1	01.2	Do you plan to conduct third party a	assurance of this ye	ear's PRI Transparency report?	
	Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured					
	○ Sel	ected	data will be assured			
CM 1 01.9	01.3 &	Mar	ndatory	Public	Descriptive	General
	CM 1 01.3 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report					
	Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year					
	Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report					
	 We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report, or we did not have such a report last year. 					
CM 1 10-12	01.4, 2	Mar	ndatory	Public	Descriptive	General



	CM 1 01.4 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:					
☐ We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme						
	☐ We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report					
	□ ESC	3 audit	of holdings			
	☐ Oth	er, spec	cify			
	✓ Non	ne of the	e above			
CM 1	01.7	Manc	latory	Public	Descriptive	General
						DDI T
	CM 1 (01.7	Indicate who has reviewed/verified Report . and if this applies to select			
	Who h	nas con	ducted the verification			
	☑ CE(O or oth	er Chief-Level staff			
			Sign-off or review of responses	S		
	V	í Sign-c	off			
		Revie	w of responses			
	☐ The	Board				
	☐ Investment Committee					
	☐ Compliance Function					
	□ RI/ESG Team					
	□ Inve	estment	Teams			
	□ Leg	al Depa	rtment			
	□ Oth		IIIIIIGIII			

